

THE ORDER OF CONFORMAL AUTOMORPHISMS OF RIEMANN SURFACES OF INFINITE TYPE

EGE FUJIKAWA

ABSTRACT. Let R be a Riemann surface of infinite type such that the injectivity radius at any point in R is less than a positive constant M , and f a conformal automorphism of R fixing a compact subset in R . We show that the order of f is less than a certain constant depending on M .

1. INTRODUCTION

On a compact Riemann surface R of genus $g \geq 2$, it is known that the order of a conformal automorphism of R is not greater than $2(2g + 1)$ (Wiman cf. [4, p.96]). Since the hyperbolic area of R is $4\pi(g - 1)$, the injectivity radius at any point in R is not greater than a constant depending only on g . This means that the order of a conformal automorphism of R is estimated by the supremum of the injectivity radii which is taken over all points in R . We extend this result to the case of Riemann surfaces which are not necessarily of finite type. That is, for any hyperbolic Riemann surface R such that the injectivity radius at any point in R is less than a positive constant M , if a conformal automorphism f of R fixes a compact subset in R , then the order of f is estimated by M . Note that, in the case that R has the non-abelian fundamental group, a conformal automorphism f of R fixes a compact subset on R if and only if f has the finite order.

2. MAIN THEOREMS

Let \mathbf{H} be the upper-half plane equipped with the hyperbolic metric $d\lambda = |dz|/\text{Im}z$. We say that a Riemann surface R is *hyperbolic* if it is represented by \mathbf{H}/Γ for a torsion-free Fuchsian group Γ acting on \mathbf{H} . The hyperbolic distance on \mathbf{H} or on R is denoted by $d(\cdot, \cdot)$. The *injectivity radius* at $p \in R$ is the supremum of radii r of embedded hyperbolic discs centered at p . The supremum of the injectivity radii is uniformly bounded from below.

Date: July 13, 2002.

Proposition 1. ([7]) *For any hyperbolic Riemann surface R , the supremum of the injectivity radii which is taken over all points in R is not less than $\operatorname{arcsinh}(2/\sqrt{3})$.*

Before we state the main theorems, we note the following fact.

Proposition 2. *Let $R = \mathbf{H}/\Gamma$, where Γ is a Fuchsian group which is not necessarily torsion-free, and f a conformal automorphism of R with finite order $n > 1$. Then f fixes either a non-trivial simple closed geodesic, a puncture, a point or a cone point on R .*

Proof. Let \tilde{f} be a lift of f to \mathbf{H} which is an element of $\operatorname{PSL}_2(\mathbf{R})$. Since f has the finite order n , we see that \tilde{f}^n belongs to Γ and that \tilde{f}^m ($1 \leq m < n$) does not belong to Γ . If \tilde{f}^n is parabolic, then \tilde{f} is parabolic. Hence f fixes a puncture on R . If \tilde{f}^n is the identity, then \tilde{f} is elliptic with the fixed point $\tilde{p} \in \mathbf{H}$. Hence f fixes the point on R which is the projection of \tilde{p} . If \tilde{f}^n is elliptic, then \tilde{f} is elliptic with the fixed point $\tilde{p} \in \mathbf{H}$. Hence f fixes the cone point on R which is the projection of \tilde{p} . Further, if \tilde{f}^n is hyperbolic, then \tilde{f} is hyperbolic. Hence f fixes a non-trivial closed geodesic c_* on R . In this case, we prove that f fixes either a non-trivial simple closed geodesic, a puncture, a point or a cone point on R . We consider the quotient $\hat{R} = R/\langle f \rangle$ by a cyclic group $\langle f \rangle$ and its Fuchsian model $\hat{\Gamma} = \langle \Gamma, \tilde{f} \rangle$. Then $\hat{c}_* = c_*/\langle f \rangle$ is a non-trivial closed geodesic on \hat{R} . There exists a subset \hat{c}' of \hat{c}_* such that \hat{c}' is a non-trivial simple closed curve and it corresponds to a conjugacy class of an element γ in $\hat{\Gamma} - \Gamma$. Indeed, suppose that there is no such curves. That is, suppose that every non-trivial simple closed curve $\hat{c}_i \subset \hat{c}_*$ corresponds to a conjugacy class of an element γ_i in Γ . Since Γ is a normal subgroup of $\hat{\Gamma}$, the curve \hat{c}_* corresponds to a conjugacy class of the composition of some elements in $\{\gamma_i, \gamma_i^{-1}\}_i$, which is in Γ . However, this is a contradiction. Let $c' \subset c_*$ be a connected component of the preimage of \hat{c}' . Then c' is a simple closed curve fixed by f . If γ is hyperbolic, then there exists a simple closed geodesic c'_* that is homotopic to c' , and it is fixed by f . If γ is parabolic, then \hat{c}' surround a puncture \hat{p} on \hat{R} . Then c' surround a puncture p which is a lift of \hat{p} , and f fixes p . If γ is elliptic, then \hat{c}' surround a cone point \hat{p} on \hat{R} . In case c' is trivial, then it surrounds a point p which is a lift of \hat{p} , and f fixes p . In case c' is non-trivial, then it surrounds a cone point p which is a lift of \hat{p} , and f fixes p . \square

This proposition immediately gives the following well known result.

Corollary 1. *Let R be a compact Riemann surface, and f a conformal automorphism of R . If f is irreducible, then f has a fixed point on R .*

Assume that R has the non-abelian fundamental group. Then the action of $\text{Aut}(R)$ is properly discontinuous (cf. [6, Theorem X.48]). Thus, if a conformal automorphism f of R fixes either a non-trivial simple closed geodesic, a puncture, a point or a cone point on R , then f has the finite order. Hence, by Proposition 2, f has the finite order if and only if f fixes either a non-trivial simple closed geodesic, a puncture, a point or a cone point on R . In each case, we estimate the order of f concretely in terms of the injectivity radius on R .

Theorem 1. (hyperbolic case) *Let R be a hyperbolic Riemann surface. Suppose that there exists a positive constant M such that the injectivity radius at any point in R is less than M . Let c be a non-trivial simple closed geodesic on R whose length is ℓ . Further, let f be a conformal automorphism of R that satisfies $f(c) = c$, and n the order of f . Then*

$$n < (e^{2M} - 1) \cosh(\ell/2).$$

Theorem 2. (parabolic case) *Let R be a hyperbolic Riemann surface with a puncture p . Suppose that there exists a positive constant M such that the injectivity radius at any point in R is less than M . Let f be a conformal automorphism of R that satisfies $f(p) = p$, and n the order of f . Then*

$$n < e^{2M} - 1.$$

Theorem 3. (elliptic case) (i) *Let R be a hyperbolic Riemann surface. For a positive constant M , let p be a point in R at which the injectivity radius is less than M . Let f be a conformal automorphism of R that satisfies $f(p) = p$, and n the order of f . Then*

$$n < 2\pi \cosh M.$$

(ii) *Let Γ be a Fuchsian group which contains an elliptic element γ with order $m > 1$. Let \tilde{p} be the fixed point of γ , and p its projection to the orbifold $R = \mathbf{H}/\Gamma$. Suppose that there exists a positive constant M such that the injectivity radius at any point in R is less than M . Let f be a conformal automorphism of R that satisfies $f(p) = p$, and n the order of f . Then*

$$n < (e^{2M} - 1) \frac{\pi}{m} \left(\frac{1}{\sin^2 \frac{\pi}{m}} + \frac{1}{\sinh^2 M} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Remark 1. In the assumptions of Theorems 1, 2 and 3 (ii), the injectivity radius at any point in R is uniformly bounded from above. Then R must have the non-abelian fundamental group. Further, in the assumption of Theorem 3 (i), the conformal automorphism f fixes a point on R at which the injectivity radius is bounded. Thus, if the fundamental group of R is abelian, then the order of f is not greater than 2. Hence we may assume that R has the non-abelian fundamental group.

Remark 2. By Proposition 1, the constant M in Theorems 1 and 2 satisfies $M \geq \operatorname{arcsinh}(2/\sqrt{3})$.

Remark 3. The upper bound of the order of f obtained in Theorem 2 is the limiting case of that in Theorem 1 as $\ell \rightarrow 0$. It is also the limiting case of that in Theorem 3 (ii) as $m \rightarrow \infty$.

3. THE COLLAR, CUSP AND CONE LEMMAS

The proofs of the theorems are based on the collar, cusp and cone lemmas (cf. [3] and [5]).

Definition 1. A subset $S \subset \mathbf{H}$ is said to be *precisely invariant* under a subgroup Γ_S of a Fuchsian group Γ , if $\gamma(S) = S$ for all $\gamma \in \Gamma_S$ and $\gamma(S) \cap S = \emptyset$ for all $\gamma \in \Gamma - \Gamma_S$.

Collar Lemma. Let Γ be a Fuchsian group (which is not necessarily torsion-free) acting on \mathbf{H} , and L an axis of a hyperbolic element $\gamma \in \Gamma$ whose translation length is less than ℓ . Assume that there is no fixed points of elements in Γ on L . Then a collar

$$C(L) = \{z \in \mathbf{H} \mid d(z, L) \leq \omega(\ell)\}$$

is precisely invariant under the cyclic subgroup $\langle \gamma \rangle$ generated by γ , where $\sinh \omega(\ell) = (2 \sinh(\ell/2))^{-1}$. Equivalently, the boundaries $\partial C(L)$ of $C(L)$ and the real axis make an angle θ , where $\tan \theta = 2 \sinh(\ell/2)$.

Cusp Lemma. Let Γ be a Fuchsian group (which is not necessarily torsion-free) acting on \mathbf{H} . Suppose that Γ contains a parabolic element γ with the fixed point ζ . Then there exists a horoball $C(\zeta)$ tangent at ζ such that $C(\zeta)$ is precisely invariant under the cyclic subgroup $\langle \gamma \rangle$ generated by γ , and that the area of cusp neighborhood $C(\zeta)/\langle \gamma \rangle$ is 1.

Cone Lemma. Let Γ be a Fuchsian group acting on \mathbf{H} . Suppose that a point $p \in \mathbf{H}$ is fixed by an elliptic element $\gamma \in \Gamma$ whose order is $n > 2$. Then a hyperbolic disc

$$C(p) = \{z \in \mathbf{H} \mid d(z, p) < \rho(n)\}$$

is precisely invariant under the cyclic subgroup $\langle \gamma \rangle$ generated by γ , where for $2 < n < 7$, $\rho(n)$ is a constant $\mu \approx .075$, and for $n \geq 7$, $\cosh \rho(n) = (2 \sin(\pi/n))^{-1}$.

4. PROOFS OF THE THEOREMS

In this section, we prove the theorems. First we give a proof of Theorem 1 which is based on Collar Lemma. The proof follows from the fact that there exists a wider collar of the non-trivial simple closed geodesic c , in proportion to the order of a conformal automorphism f that fixes c .

Proof of Theorem 1: Let Γ be a Fuchsian model of R , and \tilde{f} a lift of f which is a hyperbolic element in $\text{PSL}_2(\mathbf{R})$. Note that \tilde{f}^n is a hyperbolic element in Γ which is corresponding to c . We consider the quotient $\hat{R} = R/\langle f \rangle$ by the cyclic group $\langle f \rangle$ and its Fuchsian model $\hat{\Gamma} = \langle \Gamma, \tilde{f} \rangle$. Then $\hat{c} = c/\langle f \rangle$ is a non-trivial simple closed geodesic on \hat{R} whose length is ℓ/n . Since \tilde{f} is corresponding to \hat{c} , we may assume that $\tilde{f}(z) = \exp(\ell/n)z$ with the axis $L = \{iy \mid y > 0\}$. Applying Collar Lemma for $\hat{\Gamma}$ and $\gamma = \tilde{f}$, we can take a collar

$$\tilde{C}(L) = \{re^{i\theta} \in \mathbf{H} \mid 0 < r, \theta_0 < \theta < \pi - \theta_0\}$$

so that it is precisely invariant under $\langle \tilde{f} \rangle \subset \hat{\Gamma}$, where

$$\tan \theta_0 = 2 \sinh(\ell/2n).$$

In particular, $\gamma(\tilde{C}(L)) \cap \tilde{C}(L) = \emptyset$ for any $\gamma \in \Gamma - \langle \tilde{f}^n \rangle$. Then we can take a tubular neighborhood $C(c) = \tilde{C}(L)/\langle \tilde{f}^n \rangle$ of c on R whose fundamental region is

$$A = \{re^{i\theta} \in \mathbf{H} \mid 1 < r < e^\ell, \theta_0 < \theta < \pi - \theta_0\}.$$

We may assume that $d(c, \partial C(c)) = \omega(\ell/n) > M$. Indeed, if

$$\omega(\ell/n) = \text{arcsinh} \left(2 \sinh \frac{\ell}{2n} \right)^{-1} \leq M,$$

then we have

$$n \leq \ell \{2 \text{arcsinh}(2 \sinh M)^{-1}\}^{-1} = N(M, \ell).$$

By Remark 2, we have $M \geq \text{arcsinh}(2/\sqrt{3}) > 0.987$. Then

$$\{\text{arcsinh}(2 \sinh M)^{-1}\}^{-1} < e^{2M} - 1.$$

Indeed, this inequality is equivalent to

$$2 \sinh(e^{2M} - 1)^{-1} \sinh M < 1.$$

For $x < 1$, we have $\sinh x < 3x/2$. Since $M > 0.987$, we have $(e^{2M} - 1)^{-1} < 1$. Thus,

$$\sinh(e^{2M} - 1)^{-1} < 3\{2(e^{2M} - 1)\}^{-1}.$$

Further, $\sinh M < e^M/2$. Hence, for $M > 0.987$,

$$\begin{aligned} 2 \sinh(e^{2M} - 1)^{-1} \sinh M &< 3e^M \{2(e^{2M} - 1)\}^{-1} \\ &= 3/(4 \sinh M) \\ &< 1. \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, $\ell/2 < \cosh(\ell/2)$ for $\ell > 0$. Hence

$$N(M, \ell) < (e^{2M} - 1) \cosh(\ell/2),$$

and we have nothing to prove.

We take a point p in $C(c)$ which satisfies $d(p, \partial C(c)) = M$. Here $\partial C(c)$ is a boundary curve of $C(c)$. From the assumption, the injectivity radius at p is less than M . That is, the length r_p of the shortest non-trivial simple closed curve γ passing through p is less than $2M$. Since $d(p, \partial C(c)) = M$, the curve γ is in $C(c)$. Let $\tilde{p} = re^{i\theta} \in A$ ($\theta_0 < \theta < \pi/2$) be a lift of p . Setting $z_1(t) = re^{it}$ for $t \geq 0$, we have

$$M = d(\tilde{p}, \partial \tilde{C}(L)) = \int_{\theta_0}^{\theta} \frac{|z_1'(t)|}{\operatorname{Im} z_1(t)} dt = \int_{\theta_0}^{\theta} \frac{1}{\sin t} dt \geq \int_{\theta_0}^{\theta} \frac{1}{t} dt = \log \frac{\theta}{\theta_0}.$$

Hence $\theta \leq e^M \theta_0$. We put $a = e^{i\theta}$ and $b = e^{\ell+i\theta}$. Then $r_p = d(a, b)$. From Theorem 7.2.1 in [1], we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sinh \frac{1}{2} d(a, b) &= \frac{|a - b|}{2(\operatorname{Im} a \operatorname{Im} b)^{\frac{1}{2}}} = \frac{e^{\ell} - 1}{2e^{\frac{\ell}{2}} \sin \theta} = \frac{\sinh \frac{\ell}{2}}{\sin \theta} \geq \frac{\sinh \frac{\ell}{2}}{\theta} \\ &\geq \frac{\sinh \frac{\ell}{2}}{e^M \theta_0} = \frac{\sinh \frac{\ell}{2}}{e^M \arctan(2 \sinh \frac{\ell}{2n})} \geq \frac{\sinh \frac{\ell}{2}}{2e^M \sinh \frac{\ell}{2n}} \\ &= \frac{n \sinh \frac{\ell}{2}}{e^M \ell} \frac{\frac{\ell}{2n}}{\sinh \frac{\ell}{2n}} \geq \frac{n \sinh \frac{\ell}{2}}{e^M \ell} \frac{\ell}{\sinh \ell} = \frac{n \sinh \frac{\ell}{2}}{e^M \sinh \ell} \\ &= \frac{n}{2e^M \cosh \frac{\ell}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

For the last inequality, we have used the fact that $x(\sinh x)^{-1}$ is a monotone decreasing function for $x > 0$. Since $r_p < 2M$, this implies that

$$\begin{aligned} n &< 2e^M \sinh M \cosh(\ell/2) \\ &= (e^{2M} - 1) \cosh(\ell/2). \end{aligned}$$

□

Next, we give a proof of Theorem 2 which is based on Cusp Lemma. The idea is similar to that in Theorem 1.

Proof of Theorem 2: Let Γ be a Fuchsian model of R , and \tilde{f} a lift of f to \mathbf{H} which is a parabolic element in $\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbf{R})$. We may assume that $\tilde{f}(z) = z + 1$. Note that \tilde{f}^n belongs to Γ . We set $\hat{\Gamma} = \langle \Gamma, \tilde{f} \rangle$, which is a Fuchsian model of $\hat{R} = R/\langle f \rangle$. Applying Cusp Lemma for $\hat{\Gamma}$ and $\gamma = \tilde{f}$, we can take a horoball

$$\tilde{C}(\infty) = \{z \in \mathbf{H} \mid 1 < \mathrm{Im}z\}$$

so that it is precisely invariant under $\langle \tilde{f} \rangle \subset \hat{\Gamma}$. In particular, we have $\gamma(\tilde{C}(\infty)) \cap \tilde{C}(\infty) = \emptyset$ for any $\gamma \in \Gamma - \langle \tilde{f}^n \rangle$. We set $C(p) = \tilde{C}(\infty)/\langle \tilde{f}^n \rangle$, whose fundamental region is

$$\{z \in \mathbf{H} \mid 0 < \mathrm{Re}z < n, 1 < \mathrm{Im}z\}.$$

We take a point q in $C(p)$ so that $d(q, \partial C(p)) = M$. Here $\partial C(p)$ is the boundary curve of $C(p)$. From the assumption, the injectivity radius at q is less than M . That is, the length r_q of the shortest non-trivial simple closed curve γ passing through q is less than $2M$. Since $d(q, \partial C(p)) = M$, the curve γ is in $C(p)$. We put $a = e^M i$ and $b = n + e^M i$. Then $r_q = d(a, b)$. From Theorem 7.2.1 in [1], we have

$$\sinh \frac{1}{2}d(a, b) = \frac{|a - b|}{2(\mathrm{Im}a \mathrm{Im}b)^{\frac{1}{2}}} = \frac{n}{2e^M}.$$

Since $r_q < 2M$, this implies that

$$\begin{aligned} n &< 2e^M \sinh M \\ &= e^{2M} - 1. \end{aligned}$$

□

Finally, we prove Theorem 3. The proof is based on Cusp Lemma.

Proof of Theorem 3 (i): Since $2\pi \cosh M > 6$ for $M > 0$, we may assume that $n \geq 7$. Let \tilde{p} be a lift of p to \mathbf{H} , and \tilde{f} a lift of f to \mathbf{H} fixing the point \tilde{p} , which is an elliptic element in $\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbf{R})$. Note that \tilde{f}^n is the identity. We set $\hat{\Gamma} = \langle \Gamma, \tilde{f} \rangle$, which is a discrete group. Applying Cone Lemma for $\hat{\Gamma}$ and $\gamma = \tilde{f}$, we can take a hyperbolic disc

$$\tilde{C}(\tilde{p}) = \{z \in \mathbf{H} \mid d(z, \tilde{p}) < \rho(n)\}$$

so that it is precisely invariant under $\langle \tilde{f} \rangle \subset \hat{\Gamma}$, where

$$\cosh \rho(n) = (2 \sin(\pi/n))^{-1}.$$

In particular, $\gamma(\tilde{C}(\tilde{p})) \cap \tilde{C}(\tilde{p}) = \emptyset$ for any $\gamma \in \Gamma - \{\text{id}\}$. Then there exists a hyperbolic disc $C(p)$ centered at p with radius $\rho(n)$. Thus the length of any non-trivial simple closed curve passing through p is greater than $2\rho(n)$. On the other hand, the injectivity radius at p is less than M by the assumption. That is, there exists a non-trivial simple closed curve passing through p whose length is less than $2M$. Hence we have $\rho(n) < M$, and this implies that

$$\begin{aligned} n &< \pi \{\arcsin(2 \cosh M)^{-1}\}^{-1} \\ &< 2\pi \cosh M. \end{aligned}$$

□

Proof of Theorem 3 (ii): Let \tilde{f} be a lift of f to \mathbf{H} , which is an elliptic element in $\text{PSL}_2(\mathbf{R})$. Note that the order of \tilde{f} is mn , and that $\tilde{f}^n \in \Gamma$. Applying Cone Lemma for $\hat{\Gamma} = \langle \Gamma, \tilde{f} \rangle$, we can take a hyperbolic disc

$$\tilde{C}(\tilde{p}) = \{z \in \mathbf{H} \mid d(z, \tilde{p}) < \rho(mn)\}$$

so that it is precisely invariant under $\langle \tilde{f} \rangle \subset \hat{\Gamma}$, where

$$\cosh \rho(mn) = (2 \sin(\pi/mn))^{-1}.$$

In particular, $g(\tilde{C}(\tilde{p})) \cap \tilde{C}(\tilde{p}) = \emptyset$ for any $g \in \Gamma - \langle \tilde{f}^n \rangle$. Then the fundamental region of $C(p) = \tilde{C}(\tilde{p})/\Gamma$ is conformally equivalent to

$$\{re^{i\theta} \in \mathbf{C} \mid 0 \leq r < \rho(mn), 0 < \theta < 2\pi/m\}.$$

We may assume that $\rho(mn) > M$. Indeed, if

$$\rho(mn) = \text{arccosh}(2 \sin(\pi/mn))^{-1} \leq M,$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} n &\leq \frac{\pi}{m} (\arcsin(2 \cosh M)^{-1})^{-1} < \frac{2\pi}{m} \cosh M < \frac{2\pi e^M}{m} \\ &< \frac{2\pi e^M}{m} \left\{ \left(\frac{\sinh M}{\sin \frac{\pi}{m}} \right)^2 + 1 \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &= (e^{2M} - 1) \frac{\pi}{m} \left(\frac{1}{\sin^2 \frac{\pi}{m}} + \frac{1}{\sinh^2 M} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

and we have nothing to prove.

We take a point q in $C(p)$ that satisfies $d(q, \partial C(p)) = M$. Here $\partial C(p)$ is the boundary curve of $C(p)$. From the assumption, the injectivity radius at q is less than M . That is, the length r_q of the shortest non-trivial simple closed curve γ passing through q is less than $2M$. Since

$d(q, \partial C(p)) = M$, the curve γ is in $C(p)$. From the cosine rule of triangles (cf. [1, p.148]), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \cosh r_q &= \cosh^2(\rho(mn) - M) - \sinh^2(\rho(mn) - M) \cos(2\pi/m) \\ &= \sinh^2(\rho(mn) - M)(1 - \cos(2\pi/m)) + 1. \end{aligned}$$

Then $r_q < 2M$ implies that

$$\begin{aligned} (1) \quad \rho(mn) &< \operatorname{arcsinh} \left(\frac{\cosh 2M - 1}{1 - \cos \frac{2\pi}{m}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + M \\ &= \operatorname{arcsinh} \left(\frac{\sinh M}{\sin \frac{\pi}{m}} \right) + M \\ &=: M_1. \end{aligned}$$

To obtain (1), we have used the fact that the inverse function of $y = \sinh^2 x$ for $x > 0$ is $\operatorname{arcsinh} \sqrt{y}$. Since

$$\rho(mn) = \operatorname{arccosh}(2 \sin(\pi/mn))^{-1},$$

the inequality (1) implies that

$$\begin{aligned} n &< (\pi/m) \{ \operatorname{arcsin}(2 \cosh M_1)^{-1} \}^{-1} \\ &< (2\pi/m) \cosh M_1 \\ &= (2\pi/m) \cosh \left\{ \operatorname{arcsinh} \left(\frac{\sinh M}{\sin \frac{\pi}{m}} \right) + M \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

We set

$$X = \frac{\sinh M}{\sin \frac{\pi}{m}}.$$

Using the hyperbolic cosine formula and the fact that $\cosh(\operatorname{arcsinh} x) = \sqrt{x^2 + 1}$ for $x > 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} n &< (2\pi/m) \cosh \{ \operatorname{arcsinh} X + M \} \\ &= (2\pi/m) \{ \cosh(\operatorname{arcsinh} X) \cosh M + \sinh(\operatorname{arcsinh} X) \sinh M \} \\ &< (2\pi/m) \{ \cosh(\operatorname{arcsinh} X) \cosh M + \cosh(\operatorname{arcsinh} X) \sinh M \} \\ &= (2\pi/m) e^M \cosh(\operatorname{arcsinh} X) \\ &= (2\pi/m) e^M (X^2 + 1)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &= \frac{2\pi e^M}{m} \left\{ \left(\frac{\sinh M}{\sin \frac{\pi}{m}} \right)^2 + 1 \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &= (e^{2M} - 1) \frac{\pi}{m} \left(\frac{1}{\sin^2 \frac{\pi}{m}} + \frac{1}{\sinh^2 M} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

□

5. APPLICATION

In this section, we apply our main theorem to investigating a certain property on the hyperbolic geometry on Riemann surfaces. The property we observe is as follows.

Definition 2. We say that a Riemann surface R satisfies the *lower bound condition* if there exists a positive constant ϵ such that the ϵ -thin part of R consists only of cusp neighborhoods. Further, we say that R satisfies the *upper bound condition* if there exists a positive constant M such that the injectivity radius at any point in R is less than M .

In [2], we have defined the (generalized) upper bound condition, and have shown the following.

Proposition 3. ([2]) *Let R be a hyperbolic Riemann surface of analytically finite type, and \tilde{R} a normal covering surface of R which is not a universal cover. Then \tilde{R} satisfies the lower and (generalized) upper bound conditions.*

In connection with this result, we show that Riemann surfaces inherit the lower and upper bound conditions from its normal covering surface.

Proposition 4. *Let R be a hyperbolic Riemann surface, and \tilde{R} a normal covering surface of R . If \tilde{R} satisfies the lower and upper bound conditions, then R also satisfies these conditions.*

Proof. It is clear that R satisfies the upper bound condition. Suppose that R does not satisfy the lower bound condition. Then R has a sequence $\{c_n\}$ of disjoint simple closed geodesics with $\ell_n = \ell(c_n) \rightarrow 0$ ($n \rightarrow \infty$). Here $\ell(\cdot)$ means the hyperbolic length of a curve. Let $\tilde{c}_n \subset \tilde{R}$ be a connected component of the preimage of c_n . Since \tilde{R} satisfies the lower bound conditions, there exists a positive constant ϵ such that $\ell(\tilde{c}_n) > \epsilon$ for all n . We take a positive constant M so that \tilde{R} satisfies the upper bound condition for M . Assume that $\ell(\tilde{c}_n) \leq 2M$ for infinitely many n . Then, by Theorem 1, the order of a conformal automorphism \tilde{f}_n of \tilde{R} that fixes \tilde{c}_n is less than $N = (e^{2M} - 1) \cosh M$. Then we have $\ell(c_n) > \epsilon/N$. However, this contradicts $\ell(c_n) \rightarrow 0$ ($n \rightarrow \infty$). Next, we assume that $\ell(\tilde{c}_n) > 2M$ (including the case that \tilde{c}_n is not closed) for infinitely many n . By Collar Lemma, there exists a tubular neighborhood $C(c_n)$ of c_n with width $\omega(\ell_n)$, where $\sinh \omega(\ell_n) = (2 \sinh(\ell_n/2))^{-1}$. From the proof of Theorem 1, there exists a tubular neighborhood of \tilde{c}_n with width $\omega(\ell_n)$. Since \tilde{R} satisfies the upper bound condition for the constant M , there exists a non-trivial simple closed curve passing through $\tilde{p}_n \in \tilde{c}_n$ whose length is less than $2M$. However, since

$\ell(\tilde{c}_n) > 2M$ and since $\omega(\ell_n) \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, we have a contradiction. \square

The following example shows that, in Proposition 4, if the normal covering surface \tilde{R} of R satisfies only one of the two conditions, then R does not necessarily satisfy the two conditions.

Example 1. Let

$$\tilde{R} = \mathbf{C} - \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{m \in \mathbf{Z}} \left\{ \frac{m}{n} \pm n^2 \sqrt{-1} \right\},$$

and set $R = \tilde{R}/\langle f \rangle$, where $f(z) = z + 1$. This Riemann surface R satisfies the upper bound condition but does not satisfy the lower bound condition. On the other hand, the normal covering surface \tilde{R} of R satisfies the lower bound condition but does not satisfy the upper bound condition.

REFERENCES

- [1] A. F. Beardon, *The Geometry of Discrete Groups*, GTM **91**, Springer, 1983.
- [2] E. Fujikawa, Limit sets and regions of discontinuity of Teichmüller modular groups, preprint, 2001.
- [3] N. Halpern, A proof of the collar lemma, *Bull. London Math Soc.*, **13**, 1981, 141-144.
- [4] W. J. Harvey, Cyclic groups of automorphisms of a compact Riemann surface, *Quart. J. Math.* **17**, 1966, 86-97.
- [5] J. P. Matelski, A compactness theorem for Fuchsian groups of the second kind, *Duke Math. J.* **43**, 1976, 829-840.
- [6] M. Tsuji, *Potential Theory in Modern Function Theory*, Chelsea, New York, 1959.
- [7] A. Yamada, On Marden's universal constant of Fuchsian groups II, *J. d'Analyse Math.*, **41**, 1982, 234-248.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, TOKYO INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, OHOKAYAMA MEGURO-KU TOKYO 152-8551, JAPAN

E-mail address: fujikawa@math.titech.ac.jp