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#### Abstract

In this note, we will show that, for every structurally finite transcendental entire function, the Hausdorff dimension of its Julia set is two.


## 1 Introduction and main results

In [8] we defined structurally finite entire functions and proved that every such $f(z)$ of type ( $p, q$ ) can be written as an indefinite integral

$$
f(z)=\int^{z} P(t) e^{Q(t)} d t
$$

with polynomials $Q(z)$ of degree $q$ and $P(z)$ of degree $p$. For the definitions and details, see [8] and [9].

Since we are interested in dynamical properties of such an $f(z)$, we may assume, taking conjugation by a similarlity if necessary, that

$$
f(z)=a \int_{0}^{z} P(t) e^{Q(t)} d t+b
$$

with monic polynomials $P$ and $Q$ of degrees $p$ and $q$. Here we exclude the case of linear polynomials (the case that $p=0, q=0$ ). In the sequel, we denote by $f^{k}(z)$ the $k$-th iteration of $f(z)$ and by $J_{f}$ the Julia set of $f(z)$. See for instance [3] for the basic facts on dynamical properties of entire functions.

Now in this note, we give a proof of the following
Theorem 1 For every transcendental structurally finite entire function $f(z)$, the Hausdorff dimension of $J_{f}$ is two.

Remark Compare with a theorem of Stallard ([5] II): For every transcendental entire function $f(z)$ with a bounded set of singular values, the Hausdorff dimension of $J_{f}$ is greater than 1. (Here we say that $\alpha$ is a singlar value of $f(z)$ if every neighborbood of $\alpha$ is not evenly covered under $f: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$.)

Also, we note the following
Proposition 2 Let $f(z)$ be a (not necessarily transcendental) structurally finite entire function. If $f(z)$ is hyperbolic, namely if the union $S^{+}(f)$ of the orbits of all singular values is relatively compact in $\mathbb{C}$ and the distance between $S^{+}(f)$ and $J_{f}$ is positive, then the Julia set $J_{f}$ has vanishing area.

Devaney and Keen proved in [1] that, if the Schwarzian derivative of a meromorophic $f(z)$ is a polynomial (in particular, if $f(z)$ is a structurally finite entire function) and if $f(z)$ is hyperbolic, then the Julia set $J_{f}$ has vanishing area. The proof of Proposition 2 is essentially the same as those in [1] and [2]. Hence we omit the proof. Also see [6].

Acknowledgment: The author would like to express profound thanks to Professor Yasuhiro Gotoh for valuable comments.

## 2 Proof of the main theorem

Now to prove theorem 1, it suffices to show that the Hausdorff dimension of the set of escaping points of $f(z)$ in

$$
\Pi=\left\{z=r e^{i \theta}\left|r>0,|\theta| \leq \frac{\pi}{4 q}\right\}\right.
$$

is two, because $f(z)$ is in the Speiser class (cf. for instance, [3]).
Fix a positive constant $\epsilon(<1 / 4)$. Next set

$$
Q_{\theta}(t)=\operatorname{Re} Q\left(e^{i \theta} t\right)=\cos (q \theta) t^{q}+\cdots
$$

and

$$
R_{\theta}(t)=\left|\left(\frac{P^{\prime} Q^{\prime}-P Q^{\prime \prime}}{\left(Q^{\prime}\right)^{3}}\right)^{\prime}\left(e^{i \theta} t\right)\right|^{2}\left|e^{Q\left(e^{i \theta} t\right)}\right|^{2}
$$

for every $\theta$ with $|\theta| \leq \pi / 4 q$. Then, unless $R_{\theta}(t)$ equals identically to 0 , we can write $R_{\theta}(t)$ as

$$
\frac{A_{\theta}(t)}{B_{\theta}(t)} e^{2 Q_{\theta}(t)}
$$

with real polynomials $A_{\theta}(t)$ and $B_{\theta}(t)$ of degree at most $2(p+4 q-6)(\geq 0$ unless $R_{\theta}$ equals identically to 0 ) and of degree $2(6 q-6) \geq 0$, respectively. Since the term of the highest degree of $A_{\theta}(t)$ and that of $B_{\theta}(t)$ have positive coefficients independent of $\theta$, we can find a finite $t_{0}$ such that
1.

$$
R_{\theta}^{\prime}(t)>0
$$

unless $R_{\theta}(t)$ equals identically to 0 , and
2.

$$
R_{\theta}(t) \leq 2 c_{\infty} t^{2(p-2 q)} e^{2 Q_{\theta}(t)}
$$

for every $\theta$ with $|\theta| \leq \pi / 4 q$ and every $t$ not less than $t_{0}$, where we set

$$
c_{\infty}=\max \left\{1, \lim _{t \rightarrow+\infty} t^{-2(p-2 q)} \frac{A_{\theta}(t)}{B_{\theta}(t)}\right\},
$$

which is independent of $\theta$ as noted above. (Here the first condition is satisfied for every sufficiently large $t$, since

$$
R_{\theta}^{\prime}(t)=\frac{\left(A_{\theta}^{\prime} B_{\theta}-A_{\theta} B_{\theta}^{\prime}\right)+2 A_{\theta} B_{\theta} Q_{\theta}^{\prime}}{\left(B_{\theta}\right)^{2}} e^{2 Q_{\theta}(t)},
$$

and the term of highest degree of

$$
\left(A_{\theta}^{\prime} B_{\theta}-A_{\theta} B_{\theta}^{\prime}\right)+2 A_{\theta} B_{\theta} Q_{\theta}^{\prime}
$$

is that of $2 A_{\theta} B_{\theta} Q_{\theta}^{\prime}$ which has a positive coefficient depending only on $f(z)$ and $\cos q \theta$.)

Also fix an $R$ so large that $R$ satisfies the following inequalities:

1. $R>\frac{5}{2} q(32 q / \pi)(>8 \pi q>16 q), \quad R>\frac{1}{2} e^{6^{q} / 2}$
2. $R>4 q / \epsilon$,
3. $R>t_{0}$, and
4. for every $z \in \Pi$ with $|z| \geq R$,
(a)

$$
\max \left\{\frac{q}{2}(|z|+1)^{q-1}, 1\right\}<\left|Q^{\prime}(z)\right|<2 q(|z|-1)^{q-1}, \quad\left|\frac{Q^{\prime \prime}(z)}{Q^{\prime}(z)}\right|<\frac{2 q}{|z|+1}
$$

(b)

$$
\left|\frac{P^{\prime}(z)}{P(z)}+Q^{\prime}(z)\right|<2 q(|z|-1)^{q-1},
$$

(c)

$$
|z|^{p-q}\left|e^{Q(z)}\right|>1,
$$

(d)

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{|a|}{2 q}|z|^{p-q+1}\left|e^{Q(z)}\right|>\max \left\{e^{|z|^{q} / 2}, \frac{4\left|a P(z) e^{Q(z)}\right|}{|z|^{q}}\right\}, \\
\frac{\left|a P(z) e^{Q(z)}\right|}{(|z|+1)^{q}}>4 \pi(>1)
\end{gathered}
$$

Now, set

$$
S=\Pi \cap\{|z| \geq R+1\}
$$

and

$$
T=S \cap f^{-1}(\Pi) .
$$

Definition We say that the image $f(B)$ of a disk $B$ is nearly disk-shaped with respect to $\epsilon$ if $f^{\prime}\left(z_{B}\right) \neq 0$ and the distortion function

$$
V(f, B)=\sup _{z \in B, z \neq z_{B}}\left|\frac{f(z)-f\left(z_{B}\right)}{z-z_{B}}-f^{\prime}\left(z_{B}\right)\right|
$$

of $f(z)$ on $B$ is bounded by $\epsilon\left|f^{\prime}\left(z_{B}\right)\right|$. Here and in the sequel, "disks" always mean closed disks and $z_{B}$ is the center of a disk $B$.

Lemma 3 For every disk $B$ with center $z_{B} \in S$ and radius $\left|z_{B}\right|^{-q}$, the image $f(B)$ is nearly disk-shaped (with respect to $\epsilon$ ).

In particular, $f(B)$ contains a disk with center $f\left(z_{B}\right)$ and radius

$$
\frac{3}{4}\left|f^{\prime}\left(z_{B}\right)\right|\left|z_{B}\right|^{-q}
$$

and is contained in a disk with the same center and radius

$$
\frac{5}{4}\left|f^{\prime}\left(z_{B}\right)\right|\left|z_{B}\right|^{-q} .
$$

Proof. First we note that, if $z \in B$, then $\left|z-z_{B}\right| \leq\left|z_{B}\right|^{-q}(<1)$. Hence by the condition 4-(b) for $R$, we have

$$
|N(f)(z)|\left(=\left|\frac{f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}\right|\right)=\left|\frac{P^{\prime}(z)}{P(z)}+Q^{\prime}(z)\right|<2 q\left|z_{B}\right|^{q-1}
$$

on $B$, which implies by the condition 1 for $R$ that

$$
\left|\log \frac{f^{\prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}\left(z_{B}\right)}\right|=\left|\int_{z_{B}}^{z} \frac{f^{\prime \prime}(t)}{f^{\prime}(t)} d t\right|<2 q\left|z_{B}\right|^{q-1} \cdot\left|z_{B}\right|^{-q}=2 q\left|z_{B}\right|^{-1}(<1 / 8),
$$

and hence that

$$
\left|\frac{f^{\prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}\left(z_{B}\right)}-1\right|<2\left|\log \frac{f^{\prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}\left(z_{B}\right)}\right|<4 q\left|z_{B}\right|^{-1}<\frac{1}{4} .
$$

Thus we conclude that

$$
f(z)-f\left(z_{B}\right)=f^{\prime}\left(z_{B}\right)\left(\left(z-z_{B}\right)+\int_{z_{B}}^{z} \epsilon(t) d t\right)
$$

with an error function $\epsilon(t)$ such that (by the condition 2 for $R$ )

$$
|\epsilon(t)|<4 q\left|z_{B}\right|^{-1}<\epsilon
$$

on $B$, which implies that the image $f(B)$ is nearly disk-shaped with respect to $\epsilon$. In particular, since $|\epsilon(t)|<1 / 4$, we conclude the second assertion.

Lemma 4 For every $z \in S$, we can represent $f(z)$ as

$$
\frac{a}{q} z^{p-q+1}\left(1+e_{f}(z)\right) e^{Q(z)}
$$

with an error function $e_{f}(z)$ satisfying

$$
\left|e_{f}(z)\right| \leq \frac{C_{1}}{|z|}
$$

for every $z$ with $|z| \geq R$, where (and in the proof below) $C_{k}$ are constants depending only on $f(z)$ and $R$.

Proof. First, fix a $z \in S$. By the condition 4-(a) for $R,\left|Q^{\prime}(z)\right|>1$ on the ray

$$
\ell_{z}=\left\{t e^{i \theta}|R \leq t \leq|z|, \theta=\arg z\}\right.
$$

Integrating on this ray, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
f(z)= & a \int_{\ell_{z}} P(t) e^{Q(t)} d t+C(\theta)+b \\
= & a\left[\frac{P(t)}{Q^{\prime}(t)} e^{Q(t)}\right]_{R}^{z}-a \int_{\ell_{z}}\left(\frac{P}{Q^{\prime}}\right)^{\prime}(t) e^{Q(t)} d t+C(\theta)+b \\
= & a \frac{P(z)}{Q^{\prime}(z)} e^{Q(z)}-a\left(\frac{P^{\prime} Q^{\prime}-P Q^{\prime \prime}}{\left(Q^{\prime}\right)^{3}}\right)(z) e^{Q(z)}+I(z) \\
& +C(\theta)+\tilde{C}(\theta)+b,
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gathered}
I(z)=a \int_{\ell_{z}}\left(\frac{P^{\prime} Q^{\prime}-P Q^{\prime \prime}}{\left(Q^{\prime}\right)^{3}}\right)^{\prime}(t) e^{Q(t)} d t \\
C(\theta)=a \int_{0}^{R} P\left(r e^{i \theta}\right) e^{Q\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)} e^{i \theta} d r
\end{gathered}
$$

and

$$
\tilde{C}(\theta)=-a \frac{P\left(R e^{i \theta}\right)}{Q^{\prime}\left(R e^{i \theta}\right)} e^{Q\left(R e^{i \theta}\right)}+a\left(\frac{P^{\prime} Q^{\prime}-P Q^{\prime \prime}}{\left(Q^{\prime}\right)^{3}}\right)\left(R e^{i \theta}\right) e^{Q\left(R e^{i \theta}\right)}
$$

Now for the first term in the right hand side, we have an estimate

$$
\left|a \frac{P(z)}{Q^{\prime}(z)}-\frac{a}{q} z^{p-q+1}\right| \leq C_{2}|z|^{p-q}
$$

and similarly the second term is bounded by $C_{3}\left|z^{p-2 q+1} e^{Q(z)}\right|$, on $S$ with suitable $C_{2}$ and $C_{3}$. (Here we assume that $C_{3}=0$ if $p=0$ and $q=1$.)

Next, by the definition of $t_{0}$ and the condition 3 for $R, R_{\theta}(t)$ is strictly increasing on $\left[t_{0},+\infty\right)$ for every $\theta$ with $|\theta| \leq \pi / 4 q$. Hence, we conclude that

$$
|I(z)| \leq|a| \int_{R}^{|z|} \sqrt{R_{\theta}(t)} d t \leq C_{4}\left|z^{p-2 q+1} e^{Q(z)}\right|
$$

with a suitable $C_{4}$. (We assume that $C_{4}=0$ if $p \leq 1$ and $q=1$.) Finally, $|C(\theta)|$ and $|\tilde{C}(\theta)|$ are continuous on $[-\pi /(4 q), \pi /(4 q)]$, and hence are bounded by a constant $C_{5}$ for every such $\theta$. Thus, by noting the condition 4 -(c) for $R$, we have a desired estimate by setting $C_{1}=C_{2}+C_{3}+C_{4}+2 C_{5}+|b|$.

Now we take another $R_{1}>R+2 \pi$ such that
1.

$$
\frac{C_{1}}{R_{1}}<\sin \frac{\pi}{8 q}\left(<\frac{1}{2}\right)
$$

and, if $p-q+1 \neq 0$, then
2.

$$
R_{1} \sin \frac{\pi}{8|p-q+1|}>4 \pi
$$

In particular, Lemma 4 and the condition 1 for $R_{1}$ give that

$$
\frac{|a|}{2 q}|z|^{p-q+1}\left|e^{Q(z)}\right|<|f(z)|<\frac{2|a|}{q}|z|^{p-q+1}\left|e^{Q(z)}\right|
$$

for every $z$ with $|z| \geq R_{1}$. Furthermore, fixing a value $A$ of $\arg a$, we have by Lemma 4 a continuous branch of the $\arg f(z)$ on $S$ such that

$$
|\arg f(z)-[(p-q+1) \theta+\operatorname{Im} Q(z)+A]| \leq \sin ^{-1}\left(\frac{C_{1}}{|z|}\right)
$$

Hence we have the following
Lemma $5 T$ contains
$T^{\prime}=\left\{z \in \Pi| | z\left|\geq R_{1},|(p-q+1) \theta+\operatorname{Im} Q(z)+A-2 m \pi| \leq \frac{\pi}{8 q}, m \in \mathbb{Z}\right\}\right.$.
Proof. If $z \in T^{\prime}$, the condition 1 for $R_{1}$ gives that

$$
|\arg f(z)-2 m \pi|<\sin ^{-1}\left(\frac{C_{1}}{|z|}\right)+\frac{\pi}{8 q}<\frac{\pi}{4 q}
$$

with some $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, which implies that $f(z) \in \Pi$. Thus $T$ contains $T^{\prime}$.
If $p-q+1=0$, set

$$
T^{\prime \prime}=\left\{z \in \Pi| | z\left|\geq R_{1},|\operatorname{Im} Q(z)+A-2 m \pi| \leq \frac{\pi}{16 q}, m \in \mathbb{Z}\right\}\right.
$$

which is clearly contained in $T^{\prime}$.
If $p-q+1 \neq 0$, for every $j=-2|p-q+1|, \cdots, 2|p-q+1|-1$, set

$$
B_{j}=\frac{(2 j+1) \pi}{16 q|p-q+1|},
$$

and

$$
S_{j}=\left\{r e^{i \theta} \in \Pi \mid r \geq R_{1}, B_{j}-\frac{\pi}{16 q|p-q+1|} \leq \theta \leq B_{j}+\frac{\pi}{16 q|p-q+1|}\right\}
$$

Then we have the following
Lemma 6 If $p-q+1 \neq 0$, then

$$
\bigcup_{j=-2|p-q+1|}^{2|p-q+1|-1} S_{j}
$$

is coincident with $S^{\prime}=S \cap\left\{|z| \geq R_{1}\right\}$, and $T^{\prime} \cap S_{j}$ contains

$$
T_{j}^{\prime \prime}=\left\{z \in S_{j}| |(p-q+1) B_{j}+\operatorname{Im} Q(z)+A-2 m \pi \left\lvert\, \leq \frac{\pi}{16 q}\right., m \in \mathbb{Z}\right\}
$$

Proof. The first assertion is clear. Next if $z \in T_{j}^{\prime \prime}$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& |(p-q+1) \theta+\operatorname{Im} Q(z)+A-2 m \pi| \\
< & \frac{\pi}{16 q}+\left|(p-q+1) B_{j}+\operatorname{Im} Q(z)+A-2 m \pi\right| \leq \frac{\pi}{8 q}
\end{aligned}
$$

which implies that $z \in T^{\prime}$.
Thus set

$$
T^{\prime \prime}=\bigcup_{j=-2|p-q+1|}^{2|p-q+1|-1} T_{j}^{\prime \prime}
$$

if $p-q+1 \neq 0$, and we conclude that $T^{\prime \prime} \subset T^{\prime}$.
Next set

$$
\eta_{1}=\frac{\pi}{32 q}
$$

and $T_{*}$ be the subset of the image $Q\left(T^{\prime \prime}\right)$ of $T^{\prime \prime}$ under $Q(z)$ consisiting of all such $z$ that the disk with center $z$ and radius $\eta_{1}$ is contained in $Q\left(T^{\prime \prime}\right)$. Further set

$$
T^{\circ}=Q^{-1}\left(T_{*}\right) \cap S^{\prime} .
$$

Then we have the following
Lemma 7 Every disk $B$ with center $z_{B} \in T^{\circ}$ and radius $\left|z_{B}\right|^{-q}$ is contained in $T^{\prime \prime}$.

Proof. By the condition 4-(a) for $R$, we have (as in the proof of Lemma 3) that

$$
\left|\log \frac{Q^{\prime}(z)}{Q^{\prime}\left(z_{B}\right)}\right| \leq\left|z_{B}\right|^{-q} \max _{z \in B}|N(Q)(z)|<\max _{z \in B}|N(Q)(z)|<2 q\left|z_{B}\right|^{-1}
$$

for every $z \in B$, which implies that the distortion of $Q$ on $B$ satisfies

$$
V(Q, B)<4 q\left|z_{B}\right|^{-1}<\epsilon
$$

Thus $Q(B)$ is nearly disk-shaped (with respect to $\epsilon$ ), and in particular, $Q(B)$ is contained in a disk with center $Q\left(z_{B}\right) \in T_{*}$ and radius

$$
\frac{5}{4}\left|Q^{\prime}\left(z_{B}\right)\right|\left|z_{B}\right|^{-q}<\frac{5}{2} q\left|z_{B}\right|^{-1}<\eta_{1}
$$

by the conditions 4 -(a) and 1 for $R$. Hence we conclude that $Q(B) \subset Q\left(T^{\prime \prime}\right)$, which means that $B \subset T^{\prime \prime}$.

Here, we recall the following well-known covering lemma. See for instance, [7] Lemma in 1.6 of Chapter I.

Lemma 8 Let $X$ be a measurable set in $\mathbb{C}$, and $\mathcal{B}=\left\{B_{j}\right\}$ be a countable covering of $X$ by disks with bounded radii. Then we can find an absolute constant $C$ (depending neither on $X$ nor on $\mathcal{B}$ ) and a subset $\left\{B_{j_{k}}\right\}$ of $\mathcal{B}$ such that $B_{j_{k}}$ are mutually disjoint and

$$
\sum_{k} m\left(B_{j_{k}}\right) \geq C m(X)
$$

where $m(E)$ is the the area $\int_{E} d x d y$ for every measurable set $E$ in $\mathbb{C}$.
Lemma 9 There is a $\delta>0$ such that, for every disk $D$ with center $z_{D} \in S^{\prime}$ and radius $r(D)$ greater than $2 \pi$, we have

$$
\rho\left(D \cap T^{\circ}, D\right) \geq \delta
$$

Here and in the sequel, the density of a measurable subset $X$ of a mesurable set $Y$ is defined by

$$
\rho(X, Y)=\frac{m(X)}{m(Y)}
$$

Proof. First note that $T_{*}$ contains the intersections

$$
E=\bigcup_{j} S_{j}^{*} \cap T_{j}^{s t r i p s}
$$

of

$$
S_{j}^{*}=\left\{z \in Q\left(S_{j}\right) \mid d\left(z, \mathbb{C}-Q\left(S_{j}\right)\right) \geq \eta_{1}\right\}
$$

(where $d(z, E)$ is the distance from $z$ to the set $E$ ) and periodic parallel strips with period $2 \pi$;
$T_{j}^{s t r i p s}=\left\{\left|(p-q+1) B_{j}+\operatorname{Im} z+A-2 m \pi\right| \leq \frac{\pi}{32 q}\left(=\frac{\pi}{16 q}-\eta_{1}\right), m \in \mathbb{Z}\right\}$
for every $j$. Hence we can find a $\delta^{\prime}>0$ such that

$$
\rho\left(D^{*} \cap E, D^{*}\right) \geq \delta^{\prime}
$$

for every disk $D^{*}$ with center in $Q\left(S^{\prime}\right)$ and radius greater than $2 \pi$.
Indeed, for every $z \in Q\left(S^{\prime}\right)$ and $r \geq 2 \pi$, set

$$
F(z, r)=\rho(D(z, r) \cap E, D(z, r)),
$$

where $D(z, r)$ is the disk with center $z$ and radius $r$. Then $F(z, r)$ is always positive by the condition 2 for $R_{1}$, and continuous on $Q\left(S^{\prime}\right) \times[2 \pi,+\infty)$. Also
it is easy to see that $F(z, r)$ is bounded away from 0 when $|z|$ or $r$ tends to $+\infty$.

In particular, if $q=1$ (and hence $Q(z)=z$ ), then the assertion of Lemma 9 is clear from the definition of $T^{\circ}$ with $\delta=\delta^{\prime}$. So we consider the case that $q>1$. Let $D^{\prime}$ be the disk with center $z_{D}$ and radius $r(D)-1 / 2$. Take a covering of the compact set $D^{\prime} \cap S^{\prime}$ by a finite number of open disks with center in $D^{\prime} \cap S^{\prime}$ and radius $1 / 2$. Then by the covering lemma (Lemma 8), we can find a finite set $\left\{B_{m}\right\}_{m=1}^{M}$ consisting of disjoint disks with center $z_{m}$ in $D^{\prime} \cap S^{\prime}$ and radius $1 / 2$ satisfying that $\tilde{D}=\cup_{m=1}^{M} B_{m}$ is contained in $D$ and

$$
m(\tilde{D}) \geq C m\left(D^{\prime} \cap S^{\prime}\right)
$$

On the other hand, it is clear from the shape of $S^{\prime}$ that there is a positive constant $\delta_{0}$ depending only on $S^{\prime \prime}$ such that

$$
\rho\left(D^{\prime \prime} \cap S^{\prime}, D^{\prime \prime}\right) \geq \delta_{0}
$$

for every $D^{\prime \prime}$ with center in $S^{\prime}$ and radius greater than $2 \pi-1 / 2$.
Hence we conclude that

$$
\rho(\tilde{D}, D) \geq C \rho\left(D^{\prime} \cap S^{\prime}, D\right) \geq \delta_{1}=\left(1-\left(\frac{1}{4 \pi}\right)\right)^{2} C \delta_{0} .
$$

Now for every $B_{m}$, we can show as in the proof of Lemma 7 that $Q\left(B_{m}\right)$ is nearly disk-shaped (with respect to $\epsilon$ ), and in particular, $Q\left(B_{m}\right)$ contains a disk with center $Q\left(z_{m}\right)$ and radius

$$
\frac{3}{4}\left|Q^{\prime}\left(z_{m}\right)\right| \cdot \frac{1}{2}>\frac{3}{16} q\left|z_{m}\right|^{q-1}>\frac{3 q}{16} R\left(>\frac{3 q}{16} 8 \pi q>3 q \pi\right)>2 \pi
$$

by the conditions 1 and 4 -(a) for $R$, and is contained in a disk with the same center and radius $(5 / 8)\left|Q^{\prime}\left(z_{B}\right)\right|$. (Here recall that $q \geq 2$.) Hence, we conclude that

$$
\rho\left(Q\left(B_{m}\right) \cap E, Q\left(B_{m}\right)\right) \geq\left(\frac{3}{5}\right)^{2} \delta^{\prime}
$$

Since

$$
\left|\frac{Q^{\prime}(z)}{Q^{\prime}\left(z_{m}\right)}-1\right|<\frac{1}{4}
$$

on $D_{m}$, we conclude that

$$
\rho\left(B_{m} \cap T^{\circ}, B_{m}\right) \geq\left(\frac{3}{5}\right)^{4} \delta^{\prime}
$$

Thus in the case that $q>1$, we have the assertion with

$$
\delta=\left(\frac{3}{5}\right)^{4} \delta^{\prime} \delta_{1}
$$

Now we give a proof of the main theorem.
For this purpose, take a disk $B$ with center $z_{B} \in T^{\prime}$ and radius $\left|z_{B}\right|^{-q}$ arbitrarily. Then we have shown in Lemma 3 that $f(B)$ is nearly disk-shaped (with respect to $\epsilon$ ), and contains a disk $B^{\prime}$ with center $f\left(z_{B}\right) \in S^{\prime}$, whose absolute value can be written as

$$
\frac{|a|}{q}\left|z_{B}\right|^{p-q+1}\left|\left(1+e_{f}\left(z_{B}\right)\right) e^{Q\left(z_{B}\right)}\right|
$$

with $\left|e_{f}\left(z_{B}\right)\right|<1 / 2$ by Lemma 4 and the condition 1 for $R_{1}$, and radius

$$
r\left(B^{\prime}\right)=\frac{3}{4}\left|f^{\prime}\left(z_{B}\right)\right|\left|z_{B}\right|^{-q}-\frac{1}{8}>\frac{5}{8}\left|f^{\prime}\left(z_{B}\right)\right|\left|z_{B}\right|^{-q}
$$

by the condition $4-(\mathrm{d})$ for $R$, and is contained in a disk $B^{\prime \prime}$ with the same center and radius

$$
\frac{5}{4}\left|f^{\prime}\left(z_{B}\right)\right|\left|z_{B}\right|^{-q}
$$

Note that $r\left(B^{\prime}\right)$ is greater than $2 \pi$ by the condition $4-(\mathrm{d})$ for $R$ and that the distance between $B^{\prime}$ and $\mathbb{C}-f(B)$ is greater than $1 / 8$ by the definition.

In particular, Lemma 9 gives that

$$
\rho\left(B^{\prime} \cap T^{\circ}, B^{\prime}\right) \geq \delta,
$$

which implies that

$$
\rho\left(B^{\prime} \cap T^{\circ}, B^{\prime \prime}\right) \geq \frac{\delta}{4} .
$$

Thus we conclude the following
Lemma 10 There is a positive constant $\delta^{\prime \prime}$ depending only on $f(z)$ and $R_{1}$ such that, for every disk $B$ with center $z_{B} \in T^{\prime}$ and radius $\left|z_{B}\right|^{-q}$, there exists a packing $\left\{B_{m}\right\}_{m=1}^{M}$ of $T^{\prime} \cap f(B)$ with a finite number of disjoint disks $B_{m}$ in $T^{\prime \prime}\left(\subset T^{\prime}\right)$ with center $z_{m}$ in $T^{\circ}$ and radius $\left|z_{m}\right|^{-q}$ such that

$$
\rho\left(\bigcup_{m=1}^{M} B_{m}, f(B)\right) \geq \delta^{\prime \prime}
$$

Moreover, for every $m$,

$$
\left|f\left(z_{m}\right)\right| \geq \frac{\left|f\left(z_{B}\right)\right|}{2}
$$

Proof. First, we cover $T^{\circ}$ by open disks with center $z \in T^{\circ}$ and radius $|z|^{-q}$. Then since the above $B^{\prime}$ is compact, we can select a finite cover of $B^{\prime} \cap T^{\circ}$. Then by Lemmas 7 and 8 , we can find a packing $\left\{B_{m}\right\}_{m=1}^{M}$ of $T^{\prime \prime}$ by a finite number of disks $B_{m}$ with center $z_{m} \in T^{\circ}$ and radius $\left|z_{m}\right|^{-q}$ such that

$$
\rho\left(\bigcup_{m=1}^{M} B_{m}, f(B)\right) \geq C \rho\left(B^{\prime} \cap T^{\circ}, B^{\prime \prime}\right) \geq \frac{1}{4} C \delta .
$$

Here note that, since $\left|z_{m}\right|^{-q}<1 / 8$ by the condition 1 for $R$, every such disk $B_{m}$ is contained in $f(B)$, and hence $\left\{B_{m}\right\}_{m=1}^{M}$ is actually a packing of $T^{\prime \prime} \cap f(B)$. Thus we have the first assertion with $\delta^{\prime \prime}=C \delta / 4$.

Next, every point $z$ in $f(B)$, Lemmas 3, 4 and the conditions 4-(d) for $R$, 1 for $R_{1}$ imply that

$$
|f(z)|>\left|f\left(z_{B}\right)\right|-\frac{5}{4}\left|f^{\prime}\left(z_{B}\right)\right|\left|z_{B}\right|^{-q}>\frac{\left|f\left(z_{B}\right)\right|}{2},
$$

which shows the second assertion.
Now we define a family $\mathcal{E}_{k}$ consisting of a finite number of disjoint compact sets inductively. For every $B$ as in Lemma 10 , we denote by $\mathcal{P}(f(B))$ the packing of $f(B)$ obtained in Lemma 10. Fix such a disk $B_{*}$ and set $\mathcal{E}_{0}=\left\{B_{*}\right\}$. Define

$$
\mathcal{E}_{k}=\left\{G \mid G \subset F \in \mathcal{E}_{k-1}, \quad f^{k}(G) \in \mathcal{P}\left(f^{k}(F)\right)\right\}
$$

Then

$$
E_{\infty}=\bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty}\left(\bigcup_{G \in \mathcal{E}_{k}} G\right)
$$

consists of escaping points whose orbit is contained in $S$, and Lemma 10 implies that

$$
\rho\left(\left(\bigcup_{G \in \mathcal{E}_{k}, G \subset F} f^{k}(G)\right), f^{k}(F)\right) \geq \delta^{\prime \prime}
$$

for every $k$ and $F \in \mathcal{E}_{k-1}$.
Here we note the following distortion lemma.
Lemma 11 (Distortion Lemma) Let $\left\{D_{j}\right\}_{j=0}^{n}$ be a sequence of disks with radii $\ell_{j}$ and $\left\{f_{j}\right\}$ be a sequence of univalent functions on neighborhoods of $D_{j}$. Assume that $\ell_{j} \leq \ell_{0}<1 / 5$,

$$
f_{j}\left(D_{j}\right) \supset D_{j+1}, \quad \ell_{j} \cdot\left|f_{j}^{\prime}(z)\right|>1
$$

on $D_{j}$, and

$$
\ell_{j} \cdot \max _{z \in D_{j}}\left|N\left(f_{j}\right)(z)\right|<\epsilon
$$

for every $j$. Then letting

$$
\phi=\phi_{n, 0}=\left(f_{n} \circ \cdots \circ f_{0}\right)^{-1}: D=D_{n+1} \rightarrow D_{0}^{\prime}=\phi\left(D_{n+1}\right),
$$

we have

$$
\left|\frac{\phi^{\prime}(z)}{\phi^{\prime}\left(z_{D}\right)}-1\right|<\epsilon
$$

on $D$, and hence the distortion $V(\phi, D)$ of $\phi(z)$ is bounded by $\epsilon$.
Proof. Let $w_{0}$ be the center of $D$, and on $D$ we set

$$
\phi_{n, j}=\left(f_{n} \circ \cdots \circ f_{j}\right)^{-1} \quad(j=0, \cdots, n)
$$

and $D_{j}^{\prime}=\phi_{n, j}(D)$. Then since

$$
\left|\phi_{n, j}^{\prime}(z)\right|<\ell_{j} \cdots \ell_{n}
$$

on $D$, the diameter $d\left(D_{j}^{\prime}\right)$ of $D_{j}^{\prime}$ is not greater than

$$
\ell_{j} \cdots \ell_{n}\left(2 \ell_{n+1}\right) \leq \ell_{0}^{n-j+1}\left(2 \ell_{j}\right)
$$

On the other hand,

$$
\frac{\phi^{\prime}(w)}{\phi^{\prime}\left(w_{0}\right)}=\prod_{j=0}^{n} \frac{\left(f_{j}\right)^{\prime}\left(\phi_{n, j}\left(w_{0}\right)\right)}{\left(f_{j}\right)^{\prime}\left(\phi_{n, j}(w)\right)},
$$

and each factor on $D$ has the following estimate:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \quad\left|\log \frac{\left(f_{j}\right)^{\prime}\left(\phi_{n, j}\left(w_{0}\right)\right)}{\left(f_{j}\right)^{\prime}\left(\phi_{n, j}(w)\right)}\right|=\left|\int_{\phi_{n, j}(w)}^{\phi_{n, j}\left(w_{0}\right)} \frac{f_{j}^{\prime \prime}(t)}{f_{j}^{\prime}(t)} d t\right| \\
& \leq d\left(D_{j}^{\prime}\right) \max _{z \in D_{j}}\left|N\left(f_{j}\right)(z)\right|<2 \ell_{0}^{n-j+1} \epsilon
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus we have

$$
\left|\log \frac{\phi^{\prime}(w)}{\phi^{\prime}\left(w_{0}\right)}\right|<\sum_{j=0}^{n} 2 \ell_{0}^{n-j+1} \epsilon<\frac{2 \ell_{0} \epsilon}{1-\ell_{0}},
$$

which implies the assertion as in the proof of Lemma 3, since $2 \ell_{0} /\left(1-\ell_{0}\right)<$ $1 / 2$ if $\ell_{0}<1 / 5$.

Lemma 12 We can find $a \delta_{*}>0$ independent of $k$ such that

$$
\rho\left(\left(\bigcup_{G \in \mathcal{E}_{k}} G\right) \cap F, F\right) \geq \delta_{*},
$$

for every $F \in \mathcal{E}_{k-1}$.
Proof. Fix $k$ and $F \in \mathcal{E}_{k-1}$ arbitrarity. Then for every $G_{0} \in \mathcal{E}_{k}$ such that $G_{0} \subset F$, we can find a sequence of elements $G_{k-j}$ of $\mathcal{E}_{j}(j=0, \cdots, k)$ such that $D_{k}=B_{*}$, and for every other $j, D_{j}=f^{j}\left(G_{k-j}\right)$ is a disk in $\mathcal{P}\left(f^{j}\left(G_{k+j-1}\right)\right)$. Let $z_{j}$ be the center of $D_{j}$, (and hence $\left|z_{j}\right|^{-q}$ is the radius of $D_{j}$ ). Then by the condition 4-(d) for $R$ gives that

$$
\left|z_{j}\right|^{-q}\left|f^{\prime}(z)\right|\left(>\left|f^{\prime}(z)\right|(|z|+1)^{-q}\right)>1
$$

and the conditions 2 and 4 -(b) for $R$ give that

$$
\left|z_{j}\right|^{-q}|N(f(z))|<2 q\left|z_{j}\right|^{-1}<\epsilon
$$

for every $z \in D_{j}$.
Thus by the above distortion lemma, we conclude that

$$
\frac{3}{4}\left|\left(f^{-k}\right)^{\prime}\left(z_{k}\right)\right|<\left|\left(f^{-k}\right)^{\prime}(z)\right|<\frac{5}{4}\left|\left(f^{-k}\right)^{\prime}\left(z_{k}\right)\right|
$$

on $D_{k}=f^{k}\left(G_{0}\right)$ for every $G_{0} \in \mathcal{E}_{k}$ contained in $F \in \mathcal{E}_{k-1}$. Hence we have the assertion with $\delta_{*}=(3 / 5)^{2} \delta^{\prime \prime}$.

Finally, set

$$
d_{k}=\frac{2}{\psi^{k+1}(6)}
$$

with $\psi(x)=\frac{1}{2} e^{x^{q} / 2}$. Note that $\psi(x)>x$ and $\psi^{\prime}(x)>1$ on $[6,+\infty)$. And for the diameter $d(G)$ of every $G \in \mathcal{E}_{k}$, we have the following

Lemma 13 For every $G \in \mathcal{E}_{k}$,

$$
d(G)<d_{k} .
$$

Proof. First, $\left|z_{B_{*}}\right|>R>\psi(6)$ by the condition 1 for $R$. Next since, by Lemma 10, every point in $f(B)$ has an absolute value greater than $\left|f\left(z_{B}\right)\right| / 2$ for every disk $B$ with center $z_{B}$ in $S^{\prime}$ and radius $\left|z_{B}\right|^{-q}$, we can see inductively that the inequality

$$
\left|z_{f^{k-1}(F)}\right|>\psi^{k}(6)
$$

for the center $z_{f^{k-1}(F)}$ of every disk $f^{k-1}(F)$ with $F \in \mathcal{E}_{k-1}$ implies

$$
\left|z_{f^{k}(G)}\right|>\frac{\left|f\left(z_{f^{k-1}(F)}\right)\right|}{2}>\psi\left(\left|z_{f^{k-1}(F)}\right|\right)>\psi^{k+1}(6)
$$

by the condition 4-(d) for $R$, and hence

$$
r\left(f^{k}(G)\right)=\left|f\left(z_{f^{k}(G)}\right)\right|^{-q}<1 / \psi^{k+1}(6),
$$

for every $f^{k}(G) \in \mathcal{P}\left(f^{k}(F)\right)$. Since clearly $\left|\left(f^{-k}\right)^{\prime}(z)\right|<1$ on $f^{k}(G)$, we conclude the assertion.

Summing up, we have obtained a sequence $\left\{\mathcal{E}_{k}\right\}$ such that every $G \in \mathcal{E}_{k}$ is contained in a $F \in \mathcal{E}_{k-1}$ and every $G \in \mathcal{E}_{k}$ contains at least one element of $\mathcal{E}_{k+1}$. We have also found constants $d_{k}$ and $\delta_{*}$ such that

$$
\begin{gathered}
\rho\left(\left(\bigcup_{G \in \mathcal{E}_{k}} G\right) \cap F, F\right) \geq \delta_{*}>0, \quad d(G) \leq d_{k}, \\
d_{k} \rightarrow 0, \quad \frac{k}{\log \left(1 / d_{k}\right)}=\rightarrow 0
\end{gathered}
$$

for every $k$ and $G$ in $\mathcal{E}_{k}$. Hence the Fundamental lemma in [2] (also cf. [3] Lemma 3.2.7) shows that the Hausdorff dimension of $E_{\infty}$ is two.
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